



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,885	03/23/2001	J. Spencer Grant	9437.11	7395
<div>32642 7590 01/09/2008</div> <div>STOEL RIVES LLP - SLC 201 SOUTH MAIN STREET ONE UTAH CENTER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111</div>				
<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>REIDEL, JESSICA L</div>				
<div>ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER</div> <div>3766</div>				
<div>MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>01/09/2008 PAPER</div>				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/815,885

Applicant(s)

GRANT ET AL.

Examiner

Jessica L. Reidel

Art Unit

3766

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jessica L. Reidel.

(3) _____

(2) John R. Thompson.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 04 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: Murakami et al. (U.S. 2002/0138768).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner agreed to wait until receipt of Affidavit/Declaration filed by all inventors under 1.131 attempting to antedate the Murakami et al. reference before responding to the Amendments filed on 09/07/2007 and 10/23/2007. The Examiner also reviewed with Applicant some examples of the documentary evidence that may be considered sufficient to establish prior conception as discussed in MPEP 715.07.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

CARL LAYNO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Carl H. Layno
Examiner's signature, if required